

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,429	11/03/2003	Erez N. Ribak	27029	9415	
7590 12/07/2004 G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD. c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA SUITE 207			EXAMINER		
			CHOI, WILLIAM C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON DAVIS HIGHWAY	2873			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/698,429	RIBAK, EREZ N.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	William C. Choi	2873				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Se	entember 2004.					
<u> </u>						
· ·	_					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 12,13 and 15-17 is/are pending in the	application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Notice of Dratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office	*					

Application/Control Number: 10/698,429

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kurtz et al (U.S. 5,569,626).

In regard to claim 12, Kurtz discloses a method of straining a porous crystalline material element (column 3, lines 34-36, Figure 1A, "18", re silicon) comprising the step of subjecting a porous crystalline material to light (column 4, lines 16-19, Figure 1A).

In regard to claim 13, Kurtz discloses a method, which would inherently relax a strained porous crystalline material element, this being reasonably assumed from Kurtz disclosing variable amounts of stress being applied to the element (column 4, lines 26-28). Kurtz further discloses wherein said element is subjected to light (column 4, lines 16-19, Figure 1A) and wherein said method would inherently comprise the step of preventing the light from impinging on the strained porous crystalline material element (i.e. by turning off the light source).

20 m

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 15-17 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claims 15-17: a method of inducing strain in a first element as claimed, specifically comprising the steps of attaching to the first element, or integrally forming with the first element, a second element of porous crystalline material and subjecting said second element to light.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 9/29/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues in paragraph 3 of page 6, that the Kurtz (U.S. 5,569,626) does not anticipate "A method of straining a porous crystalline material element..." but does disclose wherein "the common feature shared by both the method described in Kurtz and that recited in claim 12 of the present invention is the action of illuminating a porous material, such as porous crystalline silicon".

Although Kurtz does not explicitly set the method for straining a porous crystalline material element, it would inherently be a property experienced by the material. As per section 2112.02 of the MPEP:

Under the principles of inherency, if a prior art device, in its normal and usual operation, would necessarily perform the method claimed, then the method claimed will be considered to be anticipated by the prior art device. When the prior art device is the same as a device described in the specification for carrying out the claimed method, it can be assumed the device will inherently perform the claimed process. In re King, 801 F.2d 1324, 231 USPQ 136 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

Application/Control Number: 10/698,429

Art Unit: 2873

Therefore, examiner maintains the rejections of both claims 12 and 13, since by merely turning off the light source, the material would inherently be relaxed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Choi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2324. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from about 9:00 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Y. Epps can be reached on (571) 272-2328. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,429

Art Unit: 2873

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William Choi Patent Examiner Art Unit 2873 December 2, 2004

Georgia Epps

Page 5

Supervisory Patent Fyr Technology Cert